714

## Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden

	Dominant nectar
	sugar
0	Sucrose
	Sucrose
	Sucrose
	Hexose
	Sucrose
9	Sucrose
	Sucrose (rarely hex- ose)
	Sucrose

Perianth color	Perianth tube (mm)	Scent	Nectar volume (µl)	sugar (6
Various, often bluish or pink, with dark	12-20	Violet to rose or freesia	0.6-3.5(-6.0)	25-3
streaks or yellow lip Cream, yellowish or pur- ple, without nectar	2.5-7	strong violet or none	ca. 0.5 or none	45.8
Pink to cream with line-	(22-)30-100	none	2-12	25-3
Scarlet to crimson with	12-20	none	6-27	18-2
Scarlet to crimson	35-55	none	8-36	18-3
White, cream or mottled brown	20-110	Sweet clove or finity	4-12	(20-)
Scarlet to crimson, often with white subshee	35-55	none	4-20	18-2
Pink to orange with bold markings	ca. 10	Sweet violet	0.8-1.4	29.4

types in <i>Gladiolus</i> . • to ten flowers in a 02).	Perianth shape	gullet or flag	rotate	elongate gullet	gullet	flag (or gullet)	flag or gullet	flag (or gullet)	flag
Table 1. Major floral 1 means of samples of five and Manning (1999, 20	Flower type	Nectariferous bee	Pollen flower	Long-proboscid fly	Bird flower (G. cunon- ius clade)	Bird flower (other clades)	Moth flower	Butterfly	Hopliine beetle

## Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in *Gladiolus*

715

tations to particular pollination systems. The genus is defined by its unique ancestral basic chromosome number, x = 15, an inflated capsule, seeds with a broad circumferential wing, and a derived style in which the slender branches have expanded, bilobed tips that are conduplicate in bud and during the initial male phase of anthesis. The style appears to be the only floral feature that is apomorphic for the genus.

Distinctions between species, even those with

ostachys comprise species with flowers adapted for pollination by sunbirds (Goldblatt, 1996; Goldblatt & Manning, 1998), all now believed to be nested within different sections of Gladiolus. The reduction of these genera and the resulting reclassification of their species results in an entirely different picture of the adaptive radiation of a monophyletic group. What emerges is that in certain genera, of which *Gladiolus* is the prime example, the "correct" classification, that is, a monophyletic one, is vital to the interpretation of the adaptive radiation of a clade. In *Gladiolus* relatively minor structural modifications to suites of floral features cause pollination systems to change dramatically. In contrast to genera like Pedicularis (Scrophulariaceae), where changes in floral features alter the mode of pollination but not the pollinators (Macior, 1982, 1984), floral changes in Gladiolus often alter the pollen vectors radically, shifting pollination from one order of insects or birds to another. Field studies of selected species of Gladiolus from different sections of the genus and including examples of several species of each of the main flower types show that flower type from whatever section closely correlates with pollination strategies (Johnson & Bond, 1994; Goldblatt et al., 1998a, b, 1999; Goldblatt & Manning, 1998, 1999, 2002). This allows us to infer pollination strategies of all but a few of the 165 species of *Gladiolus* that occur in southern Africa, site of most of the pollination studies so far conducted in the genus. Comparing pollination strategies in the genus with the phylogenetic classification proposed by Goldblatt and Manning (1998) makes it possible to infer, to a large extent, the patterns of floral radiation and associated pollination shifts that have occurred in the genus. This in turn permits us to gauge with some measure of confidence the evolutionary lability in pollination strategies and the extent to which ancestral floral morphology determines pollination system.

different pollination systems, are relatively fine, although they appear highly visible in large-flowered plants such as *Gladiolus*. For example, the length and shape of the perianth tube, sometimes combined with a change in overall pigmentation, and details of the contrasting markings on the lower tepals (nectar guides) may signal a shift from apidanthophorine bee to bird or long-proboscid fly pollination (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998; Goldblatt et al., 1998a, 1999). Tube length may be as short as 2.5 mm to as long as 120 mm. Floral pigmentation and patterning are extraordinarily diverse and flowers may be virtually any color and bear diverse types of nectar guides, including longitudinal or transverse banding, diffuse speckling, or no apparent contrasting marks. Nectars are mostly sucrosedominant, but a few species of Gladiolus sect. Hebea have hexose-dominant nectar. Most species have a tube with nectar in the lower half, but a few have the tube tightly enveloping the style and do not secrete nectar. Flowers may be zygomorphic with unilateral, arcuate stamens and style, or the perianth may be actinomorphic with the stamens and style either symmetrically arranged or unilateral. Several of these differences appear so gross that the affinities of highly specialized species were, in the past, often misunderstood, and Gladiolus species were segregated in several different genera based on a range of floral features now known to be adaptations for particular pollination systems. Thus, Acidanthera included some Gladiolus species with white to pink, long-tubed flowers, and Anomalesia, Homoglossum, Kentrosiphon, and Oenostachys included species with bright red, long-tubed flowers, with the bracts, tepals, and floral tube modified in different ways. It is now known that Acidanthera was a polyphyletic assemblage of plants with flowers adapted for pollination by moths or long-proboscid flies. Its constituent species have been transferred to several genera, including Babiana, Geissorhiza, and Hesperantha (Goldblatt, 1984, 1985), although the type species is now included in Gladiolus (Goldblatt, 1996). In contrast, Anomalesia, Homoglossum, Kentrosiphon, and Oen-

### FLORAL DIVERSITY IN GLADIOLUS

Although species of *Gladiolus* exhibit a wide range of floral form and are particularly variable in perianth pigmentation, all share one important feature relating to their pollination ecology, a perianth tube. In most species, the tube forms a reservoir for nectar secreted from septal nectaries. Additionally, all but two of the southern African species (*G. quadrangulus* and *G. stellatus*) have unilateral stamens and styles, with the anthers normally exserted from the tube and arched below the dorsal tepal. Based on extensive field study and research for sys-

tematic monographs of the genus in tropical and southern Africa (Goldblatt, 1996; Goldblatt & Manning, 1998), we recognize seven major floral types, each with a different set of floral traits closely correlating with a particular pollination system. The most significant floral features include the shape and dimensions of the floral tube, perianth pigmentation, and the shape and color of the markings (nectar guides) on the lower tepals. Similar floral types do not always indicate shared relationship but are consistently associated with a particular pollination system (e.g., Goldblatt et al., 1995, 1999; Goldblatt & Manning, 1999; Manning & Goldblatt 1996, 1997). Vegetative morphology appears to be a more reliable guide to species relationships. The major floral types (Table 1) are as follows: Group 1. Nectariferous bee flowers (Fig. 1A, B) include species with a zygomorphic, bilabiate perianth with an obliquely funnel-shaped tube mostly 12-20 mm long, usually slightly shorter than the arching to hooded dorsal tepal (gullet flowers sensu Faegri & van der Pijl, 1979) or sometimes the dorsal tepal is erect and prominent (flag flowers sensu Faegri & van der Pijl). The perianth tube consists of a flared upper portion that tapers to a narrow cylindrical lower half. Small amounts of nectar, secreted from septal nectaries, are retained in the lower, cylindrical half of the tube, which is usually 6-12 mm long. Nectar is sucrose-rich, ranges in volume from 0.6 to 3.5(to 6) µl per flower, and has a concentration mostly of 25-33%, but up to 40%, sucrose equivalents (88 species) (Goldblatt et al., 1998a). Species of the southern African summer- and winter-rainfall zone show several differences among the flowers of this group. In the summer-rainfall zone, flowers are usually relatively small, ca. 20-25 mm long, rarely scented, and are numerous and crowded on straight spikes. The tepals are mostly colored shades of pink, orange, or mauve, and usually bear nectar guides of low contrast with the base perianth color (species of sects. Densiflorus, Heterocolon, Linearifolius, and Ophiolyza). In the winter-rainfall zone flowers are mediumsized to relatively small and 25-35 mm long, are almost always scented, and are usually few to several, mostly on flexuose spikes. The tepals are often colored shades of blue to mauve or pink, but also scarlet, yellow, green, or brown, and the lower tepals usually bear prominent nectar guides of a variety of shapes, depending on taxonomic affiliation. Nectar guides may consist of uniform pale color on the proximal half of the tepals (sect. Hebea) or of pale color with irregularly streaked dark longitudinal lines and dots, or transverse bands of pale

and dark color (sect. Homoglossum, sect. Linearifolius).

Group 2. Pollen flowers (Fig. 1C, D) are characteristically small, ca. 20 mm long, with an actinomorphic, mostly rotate perianth, and a tube less than 8 mm long or if longer completely closed internally due to the walls of the tube being closely wrapped around the style. The tepals are often dullcolored (cream, yellowish, or light purple), lack obvious nectar guides, and the stamens and style are unilateral or symmetrically placed in the center of the perianth. The flowers are scented or not, and secrete small to minute amounts of nectar or are nectarless. Species are restricted to winter-rainfall southern Africa in sections Hebea, Homoglossum, and Linearifolius and flower in the spring (4 species) (Goldblatt et al., 1998a). Group 3. Long-proboscid fly flowers (Fig. 1E, F) have a medium to large perianth, mostly 45-80 mm long and an elongate, cylindrical perianth tube (22-)30-100 mm long, slightly to much exceeding the dorsal tepal. Few to several flowers are borne on straight spikes and have cream to pink tepals with reddish nectar guides of linear or spearshaped marks in the middle of the tepals. The anthers and pollen are often unusually colored, and dark purple. Flowers always lack scent, but produce large amounts of sucrose-rich to sucrose-dominant nectar, mostly of 2-12 µl in volume and 25-30% sucrose equivalents per flower. Species occur throughout southern Africa, mostly of section Blandus, but there are also examples from sections Densiflorus, Hebea, Homoglossum, and Ophiolyza (29 species). Those of the winter-rainfall zone flower in late spring to summer, after peak flowering of the flora; in the summer-rainfall zone species flower in the late summer and autumn, coinciding with the end of the flowering peak in the flora (Goldblatt & Manning, 1999, 2000). Group 4. Bird flowers (Fig. 1G, H) are represented by species with a large perianth, mostly 50-80 mm long, with an elongate tube, usually 35-55 mm long, as long as or longer than the dorsal tepal, the tube often narrow below, broad and cylindrical above (Table 1). The gullet or flag flowers lack nectar guides, are unscented, and the tepals are scarlet to crimson, sometimes partly yellow or green on the lower tepals. Plants often have well-exserted anthers borne on sturdy filaments, a stout stem, and an erect, straight spike bearing enlarged floral bracts. Flowers secrete large amounts of nectar, mostly sucrose-dominant (sects. Ophiolyza and Homoglossum series Homoglossum) and with 29-35% sucrose equivalents per flower, or sucrose rich (G. priorii: sect. Homoglossum) and 18-25% su-

### Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in Gladiolus

NAVZ

717



bird flowers

G



Figure 1. Examples of anthophorine bee flowers (A, B), pollen flowers (C, D), long-proboscid fly flowers (E, F), and bird flowers (G, H) in Gladiolus. —A. G. papilio (sect. Densiflorus). —B. G. uysiae (sect. Hebea). —C. G. quadrangulus (sect. Homoglossum). -D. G. stellatus (sect. Hebea). -E. G. angustus (sect. Blandus) and its pollinator, Moegistorhynchus longirostris. -F. G. floribundus (sect. Blandus). -G. G. cunonius (sect. Hebea). -H. G. watsonius (sect. Homoglossum). Scale bar 10 mm. Drawn by John Manning.

H

crose equivalents. Nectar volumes may be as much as 36 µl in *G. watsonius* (sect. *Homoglossum*) (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998; Goldblatt et al., 1999).

Flowers differ extensively among clades in this group. In section *Hebea*, bird pollinated species allied to G. cunonius (Table 1) have a perianth tube 12–20 mm long, the lower tepals reduced to scalelike dimensions, the dorsal tepal is much enlarged, the anthers are tailed, and the style branches stigmatic only at the rounded tips. They also produce relatively dilute nectar of 18-27% sucrose equivalents that contains a predominance of glucose and fructose, a sharp contrast to bird flowers of other sections. Bird pollinated Gladiolus species occur throughout southern Africa, mainly section Homoglossum in winter-rainfall southern Africa and flowering in the winter or spring, or section Ophiolyza in eastern southern Africa and flowering in the late spring and summer (20 species). Group 5. Moth flowers (Fig. 2C—F) are found in species with a medium-sized to fairly large perianth and a cylindrical or gradually flared perianth tube, 20–110 mm long, somewhat to much exceeding the dorsal tepal (Table 1). The perianth is colored white to cream or alternatively, heavily speckled dull brown, with nectar guides obscure or evidently lacking (UV reflectance was not tested). Flowers are richly scented, sometimes only in the evening, and produce 4–12 µl of sucrose-dominant nectar, mostly 30-36%, but only 20-22% in Gladiolus emiliae and 24–28% in G. longicollis. An odd feature of several of these species are relatively short stamens, the anthers often partly included in the floral tube. Species are from four sections, notably series Tristis of section Homoglossum, and mostly restricted to winter-rainfall southern Africa, flowering from early spring to early summer, thus coinciding with the peak flowering of the flora (9) species) (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998, 2002).

cruentus and G. saundersii, stand out among insect pollinated Gladiolus in having hexose-rich nectar. Flowering in the summer from mid-December to April, species occur mostly in winter-rainfall southern Africa, mostly of section Blandus but also section Linearifolius, with a few in summer-rainfall southern Africa (and tropical Africa), belonging to section Ophiolyza (9 species) (Johnson & Bond, 1994; Goldblatt & Manning, 1998, 2002).

Group 7. Hopliine beetle flowers occur in species with a moderate-sized perianth with a short perianth tube, ca. 10 mm long (Table 1). The tepals are brightly colored (either deep pink or orange) mostly with bold markings in yellow and purple (painted bowl flowers of Bernhardt, 2000) and show less pronounced zygomorphy, compared to immediate relatives, thus tepals are less unequal in size. Flowers secrete small amounts of nectar, bloom in the spring, and are restricted to winter-rainfall southern Africa (1 species) (Goldblatt et al., 1998b; Goldblatt & Manning, 1998).

#### Phenology

Flowering phenology is an important consideration in understanding the patterns of diversification of pollination systems in southern African Gladiolus. The subcontinent has two dramatically contrasting climate regimes, a warm wet summer and dry, cold winter in central and eastern southern Africa and a cool wet winter and hot, dry summer in the southwest. Species of *Gladiolus* occur in both zones but nearly all are restricted to only one. In the summer-rainfall zone species flower in the late spring, summer, and autumn, whereas in the winterrainfall zone species may flower at any season. Even in the summer-dry winter-rainfall zone there are locally mesic habitats that support Gladiolus species flowering in the summer. Other summer- or autumn-flowering species bloom before foliage leaves are produced, an adaptation in the winterrainfall zone that has significant consequences for

Group 6. Satyrid butterfly flowers (Fig. 2A, B) are found in species with a large perianth, mostly exceeding 50 mm long, with a more or less cylindrical perianth tube and unilateral stamens, the latter included in the tube in *Gladiolus nerineoides*. The tube is slightly longer than the tepals, 35–55 mm long, but slender (as opposed to wide in the upper half in bird flowers). The tepals are reddish (scarlet to crimson), usually with white guides on the lower tepals (contrasting with absence of marking in bird flowers). The flowers are unscented, and produce ample amounts of sucrose-rich to sucrosedominant nectar of moderate concentration, 18–27% sucrose equivalents and 4–20  $\mu$ l in volume per flower (Table 1). Some species, including *G*.

pollination there.

#### POLLINATOR CLASSES AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

Field observations of floral visitors have shown a consistent pattern throughout southern Africa in the correlation between flower type and pollinator class, although individual species in each pollination class may differ, especially in the summer- versus the winter-rainfall parts of the subcontinent. The following pollinator classes have been recognized based on field observation, capture (excepting birds), and identification of visiting insects (Johnson & Bond, 1994; Goldblatt et al., 1998a; Gold-

## Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in *Gladiolus*

### 719



Figure 2. Examples of butterfly flowers (A, B) and moth flowers (C-F) in *Gladiolus.* —A. *G. saundersii* (sect. *Ophiolyza*). —B. *G. sempervirens* (sect. *Blandus*). —C. *G. emiliae* (sect. *Linearifolius*). —D. *G. maculatus* (sect. *Homoglossum*). —E. *G. longicollis* (sect. *Homoglossum*). —F. *G. tristis* (section *Homoglossum*). Scale bar 15 mm. Drawn by John Manning.

blatt & Manning, 1998, 1999; Manning & Goldblatt, 1995, and in prep.). Visitors were identified as pollinators (Table 2) when they had the appropriate size and shape to access floral nectar, brushed against the sexual organs of the flower during visits, and had been confirmed (by microscopic and sometimes direct visual examination) to be carrying pollen of the species visited on the appropriate part of their bodies so that stigmatic surfaces of flowers would brush against carried pollen on subsequent visits. 1. Long-tongued bees. Various large-bodied, long-tongued bees of the family Apidae s.l. (including Anthophoridae) (Roig Alsina & Michener, 1993), mainly Amegilla, Anthophora, sometimes Apis, and occasionally Pachymelus, Tetralonia, and *Xylocopa*, are the primary or exclusive visitors to 42 species (and are inferred for another 46 species) belonging to group 1, i.e., gullet or flag flowers of small to moderate size with tubes fairly short and obliquely funnel-shaped (Table 2). This is true irrespective of taxonomic grouping and phytogeography (Goldblatt et al., 1998a). These bees have bodies 10–17 mm long and 4–7 mm wide across the thorax, and mouthparts 4–10 mm long. Flowers show a close fit between size and shape and a bee's body. The flared upper tube is mostly 8-10 mm long and snugly accommodates a bee's head and thorax, while the narrow, cylindrical part, mostly 6-10 mm long, admits only the slender mouthparts. To reach the nectar, bees climb into the flower and push their mouth parts into the narrow part of the tube. As they maximally extend their tongues so that they can reach the nectar, their upper body is pressed against the anthers, which lie under the dorsal tepal. Pollen is then brushed onto the dorsal part of the thorax and sometimes the head or dorsal part of the abdomen. When stigmas are unfolded (then assumed to be receptive), usually on the last day a flower is open and when pollen has usually been removed (Goldblatt et al., 1998a), the stigmatic surfaces lie in the same position as the anthers, and are then ideally positioned for pollen to be deposited passively on their sticky surfaces as a bee visits the flower to feed on nectar. Neither the base color of the flower nor the form of the contrastingly colored nectar guides appear significant in this pollination system, but the presence of nectar guides is so consistent that we assume it serves an important function, perhaps of orienting the bee so that it approaches the flower in a consistent manner so that pollen is effectively deposited dorsally on the body. The reward in these bee pollinated flowers is nectar, always present in

moderate quantities and always sucrose-rich to sucrose dominant (Goldblatt et al., 1998a).

Often, the same bee species has been collected on flowers of a wide range of color and scent characteristics, suggesting that variation in size, pigmentation, scent, and form of the nectar guide outlined for group 1 has little direct significance in relation to pollinator. For example, the most common bee that we have recorded visiting Gladiolus flowers in western southern Africa in spring, Anthophora diversipes, visits species of almost any color and nectar guide configuration. Thus visual signals of ground color, nectar guide, and odor are not significant to pollinators. Likewise, the most common bees in eastern southern Africa, Amegilla fallax and A. capensis, both visit and can evidently pollinate many of the species there with flowers of this type. In addition to bees, the flowers of group 1 are occasionally visited by the short-proboscid flies, Psilodera (Acroceridae) and Prosoeca (Nemestrinidae), with probosces 10–14 mm long. Their body and mouthpart size is similar to that of a large bee, and these nectar feeders function as pollinators just as effectively as long-tongued bees (Goldblatt et al., 1997, 1998a). Other visitors to these flowers include hopliine beetles (Scarabaeidae: Hopliini), which appear to be unimportant for most *Gladiolus* species, and we regard them as vandals rather than even secondary pollinators. Except for a few isolated examples, notably Gladiolus trichonemifolius in which pollen appears to be an important reward, species are used mainly as sources of nectar and are visited by both male and female bees. Female bees use many other plants as pollen sources for nest provisioning (including co-blooming Asphodelaceae, Boraginaceae, Hyacinthaceae, Fabaceae, Malvaceae, Oxalidaceae, and Polygalaceae). The brighter and more varied coloration and frequent production of scent in the winter-rainfall zone versus the dull, less variable coloration and absence of scent in summer-rainfall eastern southern Africa (and tropical Africa), irrespective of taxonomic affiliation, is notable. This pattern holds even in section Linearifolius, the only section widely shared between the two areas. We have hypothesized that when flowers are dull-colored and appear (to the human eye) to blend with the surrounding vegetation and soil surface (Goldblatt et al., 1998a) scent may be the primary attractant. Otherwise, bright coloration combined with a distinctive odor may help flowers compete for pollinators in the winter-rainfall zone where flowering in the flora is concentrated in a few weeks in spring. In the summer-rainfall zone the flower

#### Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in Gladiolus

721

season is more protracted, and fewer species bloom at any particular time.

The significance of floral odor is often underestimated. Bees learn to discriminate among different odors six times more rapidly than they do colors (Schoonhoven et al., 1998) and can readily distinguish between numerous, similar odors. Thus the presence and variety of scents among co-blooming species alone may encourage floral constancy even when flowers are visually similar.

& Manning, 1999). Long-proboscid flies have been found to be the sole visitors to Gladiolus species belonging to group 3, i.e., odorless, cream to pink flowers with an elongate tube and producing ample, sucrose-rich to -dominant nectar (Table 1). Typically only one species of fly at a particular site, occasionally two, visits a species. In the eastern southern African highlands, the late summer-flying Prosoeca ganglbaueri is the sole pollinator of at least G. oppositiflorus, G. microcarpus, G. mortonius, and G. varius. A fifth species, G. calcaratus, with a somewhat shorter tube, is also visited by this fly, but its tongue is so long that its body does not brush the anthers when it forages for nectar. The longproboscid fly Prosoeca robusta, also on the wing in late summer, appears to be the main pollinator of G. calcaratus. Another fly, Stenobasipteron wiedmannii (also Nemestrinidae), is the sole visitor and presumably pollinator of the long-tubed G. macneilii in lower-altitude habitats in eastern southern Africa where P. ganglbaueri and P. robusta seem absent.

This type of bee pollination is the most common pollination strategy in *Gladiolus* (Table 3). It occurs in all seven taxonomic sections, and it is the most common one in sections Densiflorus, Hebea, Heterocolon, Homoglossum, and Linearifolius. Some 53% of the southern African species have flowers adapted for this system (Tables 3, 4). Elsewhere, we have postulated that anthophorine bee pollination is ancestral in Gladiolus (Goldblatt et al., 1998a; Goldblatt & Manning, 1999).

2. Short-tongued bees or worker honey bees. The short-tongued bee, Andrena sp., visits the fragrant flowers of Gladiolus stellatus, while Apis mellifera is the only bee species captured on actinomorphic G. quadrangulus and zygomorphic G. aureus. Both bees actively collect pollen, prominently displayed in erect anthers. In G. stellatus the flowers are particularly strongly scented. Flowers of G. brevitubus are zygomorphic, with unilateral stamens, but have a floral tube less than 3 mm long and evidently lack nectar. Only small halictid bees, ca. 6 mm long, have been captured on these flowers, which appear to offer pollen as the sole reward to insect visitors. Although short-tongued andrenid bees have been captured while visiting Gladiolus meliusculus, hopliine beetle species are more consistent and frequent visitors and so, we assume, are more important in the pollination of this species (Goldblatt et al., 1998b). This is discussed in detail below. Pollination by small- or large-bodied female bees foraging for pollen as the primary pollination strategy is evidently found in only four southern African species of the genus (Goldblatt et al., 1998a). The system occurs in section Hebea (Gladiolus stellatus), section Homoglossum (G. quadrangulus: series Carinatus, and G. brevitubus: series Brevitubus), and section Linearifolius (G. aureus), evidently having evolved convergently four times (Table 4). 3. Long-proboscid flies. Long-proboscid flies with mouthparts mostly 20-60 mm long in two families, Nemestrinidae (tangle-veined flies) and Tabanidae (horseflies), have proven to be important pollinators (Table 2) of many southern African plant species with long floral tubes (Goldblatt et al., 1995; Manning & Goldblatt, 1996, 1997; Goldblatt

Along the south coast of South Africa the nemestrinid fly Prosoeca longipennis appears to be the sole pollinator of the long-tubed Gladiolus bilineatus and G. engysiphon that flower in the autumn. In western southern Africa, species with flowers of group 3 are visited by the tabanid Philoliche rostrata (G. floribundus, G. monticola, G. undulatus, G. vigilans), the nemestrinids Moegistorhynchus longirostris (G. angustus) and M. sp. (G. rhodanthus), either Prosoeca nitidula and Philoliche rostrata or both (G. carneus, G. monticola). Remarkable among long-proboscid flies, M. longirostris has a proboscis up to 80 mm long and the Gladiolus species that it pollinates, G. angustus, has a cream perianth with red markings and a tube 80-100 mm long. Long-tongued flies are present in southern Africa mostly in the warmer months, October to April, and hence plant species pollinated by these insects are constrained to this flowering period. Furthermore, different species of fly are active at different times of the year. These flies are nectar feeders, and they acquire pollen loads from the flowers they visit passively. The remarkable similarity in flower color and the shape of the nectar guides in unrelated species of Gladiolus pollinated by long-proboscid flies suggests that there is an important adaptive value to floral conformity in long-tongued fly pollination systems.

Sixteen species of Gladiolus have to date been confirmed as being pollinated by long-proboscid flies (Table 3). An additional 13 species with similar floral morphology are inferred to have the same pollination strategy, thus 29 species, 18% of south-

cept 2000) epid ra, 199 menopte

722

vandals 8 Thieves

ganglba robusta

robusta robusta Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden

arregata

Species	Primary pollinator	Taxonomic affinity (order and family)	Secondary pollinator(s)	Thieve
Section Densifiorus				
G. appendiculatus G. J. Lewis	Amegilla aspergina	Hvm: Apidae		Prosoeca
G. calcaratus G. J. Lewis	Prosoeca robusta	Dipt: Nemestr	Amegilla capensis	Prosoeca
G. crassifolius Baker	Amegilla capensis.	Hym: Apidae	Prosoeca sp.	
	A. spilostoma	Hym: Apidae		
G. densifiorus Baker	Amegilla fallax	Hym: Apidae		Prosoeca
G. exiguus G. J. Lewis	Amegilla fallax,	Hym: Apidae		Prosoeca
	A. spilostoma	Hym: Apidae		
G. ferrugineus Goldblatt & J. C. Man-	Amegilla aspergina,	Hym: Apidae		
ning	A. capensis	Hym: Apidae		
G. macneilii Oberm.	Stenobasipteron wiedmannii	Dipt: Nemestr		
G. microcarpus G. J. Lewis	Prosoeca ganglbaurii	Dipt. Nemestr		
G. mortonius Herbert	Prosoeca ganglbaurii	Dipt: Nemestr		ļ
G. papilio Baker	Amegilla aspergina,	Hym: Apidae		1
	A. capensis,	Hym: Apidae		
	Tetralonia sp.	Hym: Apidae		
G. varius F. Bolus	Prosoeca ganglbaurii	Dipt: Nemestr	P. robusta	
Section Ophiolyza				
G. dalenii van Geel	Nectarinia afra,	Aves: Passer		
	N. famosa	Aves: Passer		
G. dolomiticus Oberm.	Amegilla spilostoma,	Hym: Apidae	Lasioglossum sp.	Allodape
	Xylocopa rufitarsus	Hym: Apidae		
G. ecklonii Lehm.	Amegilla aspergina,	Hym: Apidae		1
	A. capensus	Hym: Apidae		
G. flanaganii Baker	Nectarinia famosa	Aves: Passer		
G. oppositifiorus Herbert	Prosoeca ganglbaurii	Dipt: Nemestr		1
G. saundersü Hook. f.	Aeropetes tulbaghia	Lepid: Satyridae	1	1
G. sericeovillosus Hook. f.	Amegilla spilostoma	Dipt: Nemestr	Prosoeca sp.	1
Section Blandus				
G. angustus L.	Moegisorhynchus longirostris	Dipt: Nemestr		1
G. bilineatus G. J. Lewis	Prosoeca longipennis	Dipt: Nemestr		1
G. cardinalis Curt.	Agentice to hacking	1		

## Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in Gladiolus

andals simplicilinea spilostoma ostoma sp sms D. 8 sp nd ote es potriche negilla Thie ž  $\begin{bmatrix} I \\ M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I$ 

	Taxonomic athnity		
Primary pollinator	(order and family)	Secondary pollinator(s)	
Philoliche rostrata,	Dipt: Tabanid		
Prosoeca nitidula	Dipt: Nemestr		
Philoliche rostrata	Dipt: Tabanid		
and/or P. gulosa	Dipt: Tabanid		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Amegilla spilostoma	Hym: Apidae		
Aeropetes tulbaghia	Lepid: Satyridae		
Aeropetes tulbaghia	Lepid: Satyridae		
Philoliche rostrata	Dipt: Tabanid		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Amegilla obscuriceps	Hym: Apidae		
Amegilla langii.	Hym: Apidae		
A. spilostoma,	Hym: Apidae		
Stenobasipteron difficile	Dipt: Nemestr		
Apis mellifera	Hym: Apidae		
Amegilla obscuriceps,	Hym: Apidae	Allodape exoloma	
A. spilostoma,	Hym: Apidae	A. pictifrons	
Psilodera valida	Dipt: Acrocerid		
Hippotion celerio,	Lepid: Sphingid		
Cucullia extricata	Lepid: Noctuid		
Cucullia inaequalis	Lepid: Noctuid		
Apis mellifera	Hym: Apidae		
Philoliche rostrata,	Dipt: Tabanid		
Prosoeca nitidula	Dipt: Nemestr		
Aeropetes tulbaghia	Lepid: Satyridae		
Moegistrohynchus sp.	Dipt: Nemestr		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae	Rediviva aurata	
Apis mellifera	Hym: Apidae		

723

Fable 2. Continued.	Species	carneus D. Delaroche	floribundus Jacq.	grandifiorus Andrews	phoenux Goldblatt & J. C. Manning sempervirens G. J. Lewis	stefaniae Oberm.	Section Heterocolon	marlothii G. J. Lewis	mostertiae L. Bolus	rufomarginatus G. J. Lewis	Section Linearifolius	aureus Baker	brevifolius	emiliae L. Bolus	guthriei F. Bolus	hirsutus Jacq.	monticola G. J. Lewis ex Goldblatt	& J. C Manning	nerineoides G. J. Lewis -hodonthus I C Manning & Gold.	blatt	Section Hebea	alatus L.
Tab		G. car	G. floi	G. gro	G. sen	G. stej G. um	Š	G. ma	G. mo	G. ruf	Ň	G. au	G. bre	G. em	G. gu	G. hir	G. mo	& .	G. nei	bla	Š	G. alc

724

Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden



	Taxonomic affinity		
Primary pollinator	(order and family)	Secondary pollinator(s)	
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Nectarinia chalybea	Aves: Passer		
Pachymelus peringueyi	Hym: Apidae		
Lepisia rupicola.	Coleo: Scarab	Andrena sp.	
Pachycnema crassipes	Coleo: Scarab		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Nectarinia famosa,	Aves: Passer		
N. fusca	Aves: Passer		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Rediviva aurata	Hym: Melittidae		
Andrena sp.	Hym: Andrenidae		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Anthophora diversipes.	Hym: Apidae		
A. krugeri	Hym: Apidae		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
A. krugeri	Hym: Apidae		
Nectarinia famosa	Aves: Passer		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae		
Lasioglossum sp.	Hym: Halictidae		
Anthophora diversipes	Hym: Apidae	Lasioglossum sp.	
A. krugeri,	Hym: Apidae		
A. schulzei	Hym: Apidae		
Apis mellifera	Hym: Apidae		
Prosoeca westermannii	Dipt: Nemestr		
Prosoeca longipennis	Dipt: Nemestr	1	
Amegilla fallax	Hym: Apidae	1	
Anthophora diversipes,	Hym: Apidae	Xylocopa rufitarsus	
Apis mellifera	Hym: Apidae		
Apis mellifera	Hym: Apidae		
Amegilla obscuriceps	Hym: Apidae		
Anthophora krugeri,	Hym: Apidae		

			wis) Goldb			oche	blatt & M.				. J. Lewis				u		IS				.15		. C. Manni	
Species	Bolus	) Gaertn.	(G. J. Le	guint	s Andrews	D. Delaro	latt) Goldl	-r	nunb.	J. Lewis	olus ex G.	J. Lewis	unb.	L. Bolus	moglossu	Andrews	uii L. Bolu	J. J. Lewis	iton		G. J. Lew	d.	Iblatt & J	
	eresianus I	unontus (L	neliusculus	ZJ. C. Mar	rchidiftoru	ermeabilis	accatus (K	e Vos cullvi Baki	peciosus Th	tellatus G.	vysiae L. B	enustus G.	irescens Th	vatermeyeri	Section Ho	ubbreviatus	lommestein	revitubus (	arinatus A	lebilis Sims	ngysiphon	culus G. J. I gracilis Jac	rriseus Gold	ILL K
	5	50	5 5	8	6.0	G. p	6. 5	p y	6. 5	G. s	G. 1	G. v	G. v	G. v		6.0	G. b	G. b	6.0	6.9	G. e	00 G	6.8	

## Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in *Gladiolus*

725



e affinity family)	Secondary pollinator(s)
pidae	
lalictidae	
pidae	
pidae	
Noctuidae	
Sphingidae	ļ
Noctuidae	
pidae	
Nectarinid	
pidae	1
pidae	Apis mellifera
pidae	
Sphingidae	Anthophora diversipes
pidae	
pidae	
Noctuidae	
abanidae	
abanidae	

Primary pollinator	Taxonomi (order an
Apis mellifera,	Hym:
Patellapis sp.	Hym: ]
Amegilla spilostoma,	Hym:
Apis mellifera	Hym:
Cucullia extricata	Lepid:
Agrius convolvuli	Lepid:
Cucullia terensis	Lepid:
Amegilla spilostoma	Hym:
Nectarinia violacea	Passer
Apis mellifera	Hym:
Anthophora diversipes,	Hym:
A. krugeri	Hym:
Hippotion eson	Lepid:
Anthophora diversipes	Hym:
Apis mellifera	Hym:
Cucullia sp.	Lepid:
Philoliche rostrata	Dipt:
Dhildlicho roctrata	Dint.

		ex G. J.			wis	laroche)			) Goldb	Gawl.		J. C. M		
neu.	pecies	s Eckl.	t. Ker	eet	J. J. Le	(D. De	Bolus		. Lewis	us Ker-	hud	blatt &		
COULUI	S	liodoru.	the Bal	itus Sw	nalis (	ngulus	niae F.	s L.	us (G. J anning	emifoli	e Barn	s Gold		
IC 7.		ionquili	liliaceu	macula	meridic	quadra arnard	paterso	recurvu	c. M	trichon	tristis I	virgatu	ing	
		5	55	50	5 5	B B	5	5	5	3	50	5 5	n	

Analysis of the frequency and taxonomic distribution of the pollination systems in southern African species Table 3. of Gladiolus. Southern African species comprise 165 species distributed in 7 sections and 27 series, all believed to be monophyletic based on morphological analysis (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998); n/a = not applicable.

Pollination System	Total species confirmed	Total confirmed and inferred	Taxonomic sections	Taxonomic series	
Large-bodied, long-tongued bees					
(Apidae s.l.)	39	87 (53%)	7	22	
Short-tongued (or pollen-collecting) bees	4	4 (2%)	3	3	
Long-proboscid fly	16	29 (18%)	6	13	
Passerine bird	6	20 (12%)	4	7	
Moth	6	11 (7%)	3	5	
Satyrid butterfly	5	9(5%)	3	3	
Hopliine beetle (short-tongued bee)	1	1 (< 1%)	1	1	
Uncertain	4	4 (2%)	n/a	n/a	

ern African Gladiolus, are inferred to be adapted for pollination by long-proboscid flies. Long-proboscid fly pollination thus appears to be the second most common pollination strategy in the genus, after nectariferous bee pollination (Goldblatt & Manning, 1999, 2000). The strategy occurs in six of the seven sections of the genus and it is the most common one in section Blandus, elsewhere occurring in isolated species. In section Densiflorus long-proboscid fly pollination occurs in one or two species of three series, in sections Homoglossum and Hebea in three species each belonging to a different series, and in one species of sections Linearifolius and Ophiolyza. For the present, long-proboscid fly pollination is assumed to have arisen only once in any series, though this is by no means established. This suggests parallel evolution of long-proboscid fly pollination a minimum of 12 times.

flowers conform to the classic type in Africa that are pollinated by sunbirds, Nectarinia (Table 2), a genus of passerine birds that feed on nectar as well as insects (Rebelo et al., 1987). Although the flowers in species of each section are broadly similar in their red color and production of large amounts of nectar, they differ in significant details, including perianth tube shape, size of the lower tepals relative to the dorsal, presence or absence of contrasting markings, and nectar sugar chemistry (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). In section Homoglossum all six species of series Homoglossum and two of series Mutabilis appear to have flowers adapted for sunbird pollination. These species all have a long tube, the upper part of which is wide and cylindrical (presumably to accommodate a bird's bill), and the species produce fairly concentrated nectar that is sucrose-dominant, a stark contrast to the bird flowers in section *Hebea* and many other bird pollinated flowers. Most of the remaining species of *Gladiolus* in southern Africa

4. Sunbirds. Gladiolus flowers adapted for pollination by sunbirds have been identified in five sections of the genus (Goldblatt et al., 1999). The

Taxonomic distribution of pollination systems in southern African *Gladiolus*. Lp fly = Long-proboscid fly. Table 4.

<i>Gladiolus</i> section (total species)	Bees					Hopliine		
	Passive	Active	Lp fly	Bird	Moth	Aeropetes	beetle	Uncertain
Section Densiflorus (20)	6/12	0	5/8	0	0	0	0	0
Section Ophiolyza (15)	2/6	0	1/1	2/5	0	1/2	0	1
Section Blandus (21)	2/6	0	5/8	0/1	0	3/5	0	1
Section Linearifolius (17)	2/7	1/1	2/2	0/2	2/2	1/2	0	1
Section Heterocolon (9)	3/8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Section Hebea (32)	12/21	1/1	0/3	2/4	0/2	0	1/1	0
Section Homoglossum (51)	12/27	2/2	3/7	2/8	4/7	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0
Total 165	39/87	4/4	16/29	6/20	6/11	5/9	1/1	4
% total	53%	2%	18%	12%	7%	5%	<1%	2%

Pollination system—known/plus predicted

#### Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in *Gladiolus*

adapted for bird pollination belong in section Ophiolyza, the most well known of which is the widespread G. dalenii (also in Madagascar and tropical Africa). This species has been reported by Vogel (1954) to be visited by Nectarinia afra (greater double-collared sunbird), and we recorded N. famosa foraging actively on G. dalenii. The high Drakensberg G. flanaganii has also been recorded as visited by N. famosa. Observations of visits by birds to the six species of series Homoglossum are limited to sightings of N. famosa visiting G. abbreviatus. In section Hebea, visits to G. saccatus (a western southern African species) by Nectarinia famosa and N. fusca and to G. cunonius (a southern coastal species) by N. chalybea have been noted (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). We did not try to capture avian visitors of any bird pollinated species but confined ourselves to observation of visitors and analysis of floral nectar. Bird pollinated flowers occur in five species of section Ophiolyza, four species in one series of section Hebea, eight species in two series of section Homoglossum, two species in one series of section Linearifolius, and one species of section Blandus, a total of 20 species, 12% of the southern African species. In series Mutabilis of section Homoglossum it is not yet established whether the bird pollinated species G. meridionalis and G. priorii are a clade or are independently derived from a common ancestor (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). In bird pollinated species, markedly different inflorescence or floral adaptations confirm the independent origin of the strategy. In section *Hebea* three species of bird pollinated flowers have the style branches apically stigmatic and anthers with long sterile tails, in addition to hexose-rich to hexose-dominant nectar, unique adaptations in the genus. In section Homoglossum series Homoglossum the spike is straight and relatively thick, whereas in series Mutabilis the spike is fairly slender and flexuose (an ancestral feature found in other members of this section). For want of firm evidence to the contrary, we assume that bird pollinated members of section Ophiolyza are a monophyletic group and represent a single origin of the strategy. We hypothesize that bird pollination arose at least six times in *Gladiolus* and possibly seven. 5. Night-flying moths. A variety of moths have been captured visiting species with flowers of group 5, and included species of two families, Noctuidae and Sphingidae (Table 2). The syndrome is difficult to document because the moths are active at night and hence difficult to catch or even to see. Sphinx moth pollination occurs in G. longicollis in eastern southern Africa (Agrius convolvuli), both sphinx and

noctuid moths (species of the genera *Hippotion* and *Cucullia*) pollinate several species of the winterrainfall west of the subcontinent, and species of the noctuid *Cucullia* have been captured on G. guthriei, G. liliaceus, and G. maculatus. An unidentified species of Sphingidae also visited G. liliaceus but avoided capture. We saw few other insects visiting any putatively moth pollinated species during many hours of observation, day and night, but a male anthophorid bee, Anthophora diversipes, was captured while visiting G. recurvus (I. Nänni, pers. comm.), a species apparently adapted for moth pollination. The bee attempted to forage on nectar of this species, flowers of which are scented during the day as well as the night. The bee is evidently not the normal visitor but appears capable of accomplishing pollination although unable to reach the nectar in the long perianth tube. Moths are poor pollen vectors, as their bodies are covered with loose scales to which pollen appears to adhere loosely. However, all the moths captured carried visible amounts of *Gladiolus* pollen on the upper parts of their probosces (Goldblatt & Manning, 2002). When the flowers are in the female phase, on the last of four or five days of anthesis, the stigmatic lobes lie in the same position as the anthers, and pollen adhering to a moth's proboscis is then optimally placed for passive transfer to the exposed stigma lobes. There seems no obvious distinction between species pollinated by sphingids versus noctuids except perianth tube length. In the longer-tubed G. longicollis, tube length, 65-110 mm long in subspecies platypetalus, prevents successful nectar foraging by most noctuids. Some 11 southern African species in three different sections of Gladiolus (7% of the southern African total—Tables 3, 4) have flowers of group 5, and observations on six of them (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998, and in prep.) confirm that they can correctly be categorized as being adapted for moth pollination. Moth pollinated flowers are inferred for two species of section Hebea (G. robertsoniae and G. acuminatus, which according to morphological comparison (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998) are distantly related members of series Permeabilis); section Homoglossum (G. maculatus, G. albens, and morphologically very different and presumably distantly related G. recurvus: series Gracilis, and four of the five species of series Tristis); and section Linearifolius (G. emiliae and G. guthriei: series Li*nearifolius*). We suggest that the strategy most likely arose six times, once in section Linearifolius, twice in section Hebea and three times in section Homoglossum.

6. Large butterflies. A single species of butterfly, Aeropetes tulbaghia (Satyridae), appears to pollinate species of group 6, those with red flowers, usually with white markings on the lower tepals. This butterfly has an innate affinity for bright red colors and is the sole or major pollinator of a guild of red-flowered species that bloom in the late summer in southern Africa (Johnson & Bond, 1994). We have recorded Aeropetes visiting G. cardinalis, G. nerineoides, G. saundersii, G. sempervirens, and G. stefaniae. Both G. cardinalis and G. sempervirens have already been reported in the literature to be pollinated by Aeropetes (Johnson & Bond, 1994). Demonstration of this pollination syndrome is difficult because population sizes of Aeropetes vary considerably from year to year, and individuals may be absent locally in some seasons. Hence, populations of some species of *Gladiolus* may not be visited and pollinated at all in some years. We assume this to be the case in 1995 when we attempted to identify the pollinator of G. cruentus and G. insolens, which we infer from floral morphology to be adapted for pollination by Aeropetes (or in the case of G. cruentus, perhaps some other large butterfly).

sucrose-rich to -dominant. The exceptions are G. cruentus and G. saundersii, which have hexose-rich to hexose-dominant nectar (Goldblatt & Manning, 2002).

Some 9 species, 5% of the southern African species, may be inferred as being adapted for *Aeropetes* pollination (Table 3). Species belong to three sections (*Blandus*: 5 spp., *Linearifolius*: 2 sp., and *Ophiolyza*: 2 spp.—Table 4). Thus, we hypothesize the origin of the strategy a minimum of three times. Except in series *Linearifolius*, floral morphology of *Aeropetes* pollinated flowers is remarkably similar and is associated with large flowers with spreading tepals and white splashes on the lower tepals. In section *Linearifolius*, *G. nerineoides* has relatively small flowers, but several flowers are usually open at the same time, providing the display comparable to one large flower found in sections *Ophiolyza* and *Blandus*.

Butterfly flowers in Gladiolus superficially re-

7. Hopliine beetles. These beetles of the family Scarabaeidae use the flowers of a range of plant families for sites of assembly, mate selection, and copulation (Steiner, 1998; Goldblatt et al., 1998b) and sometimes consume pollen or other plant materials. Flowers most commonly favored by these beetles are salver- or bowl-shaped, actinomorphic,

semble those adapted for bird pollination. Butterflies and birds do not, however, normally share any Gladiolus species, and in the winter-rainfall part of southern Africa they cannot, for no bird pollinated Gladiolus species there flowers when Aeropetes is on the wing in late summer. Apart from phenology, flowers pollinated by Aeropetes have a narrower tube than do flowers pollinated by birds and so do not permit entry of a bird's bill. The white splashes on most *Gladiolus* species pollinated by Aeropetes are not a feature of any bird pollinated members of the Iridaceae excepting G. flanaganii, and this is the only species of the genus in which both Aeropetes and sunbirds are recorded as visitors (Johnson & Bond, 1994). The firm texture of the floral parts, rigid stem, and hooded dorsal tepal suggest sunbird pollination is its primary strategy. At least in the winter-rainfall zone butterfly flowers appear to have evolved from fly pollinated ancestors where the phylogenetic relationships can be inferred, as in series Blandus (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). As in long-tongued bee, long-proboscid fly, and moth pollination systems, the reward offered to butterflies is nectar on which these insects feed, and pollen transfer is passive. Sugar concentration is normally somewhat lower than in other insect pollination systems (Johnson & Bond, 1994; Goldblatt & Manning, 1998), mostly 18–24% sucrose equivalents, but volume is high and sugars are typically

and although variously colored, typically have dark contrasting markings, sometimes called beetle marks (painted bowl flowers of Bernhardt, 2000). The only *Gladiolus* species that approaches this pattern is G. meliusculus, which has pink flowers with enlarged lower tepals that form a comparatively large platform. The lower tepals have a broad, dark transverse band and are yellow at the tips. The pigmentation broadly mimics that of two species of Romulea (Iridaceae) that often grow sympatrically and are visited by the same beetle species. However, G. meliusculus has a zygomorphic flower that produces a floral odor and a short perianth tube containing measurable amounts of nectar, and it is also visited by Andrena sp., a short-tongued bee. Too few visits by bees were observed for us to assess their importance in the pollination of this species. It is possible that the bee is at best an occasional visitor, unlike the beetles, which could consistently be found on flowers of these species at our study sites. The floral form of G. meliusculus suggests a recent shift to hopliine pollination, and it does not have the classic appearance associated with species pollinated by hopliines.

#### DISCUSSION

Much of the evolutionary radiation in Iridaceae has involved changes in floral features, and it

Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in *Gladiolus*  729

comes as no surprise that floral morphology in the family is closely correlated with pollination strategy. These are often very precise and involve a narrow range of pollinators, often a single pollinator group or only one pollinator (Table 2). The seven taxonomic sections of *Gladiolus* (Table 4) recognized in southern Africa by Goldblatt and Manning are each considered to be monophyletic, as are their constituent series (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998), and they are defined by unique, derived characters.

in Gladiolus. Flowers of Gladiolus pollinated by apid-anthophorine bees or moths typically have relatively concentrated nectar, between 30% and 36% sucrose equivalents. Species pollinated by longproboscid flies typically have slightly more dilute nectar, 20% to 30% (Goldblatt & Manning, 1999, 2000). Butterfly flowers have moderate sugar concentrations, in *Gladiolus* between 18% and 26%. The latter pattern is consistent with Johnson and Bond's (1994) observations on flowers pollinated by Aeropetes. Flowers pollinated by nectarinids, however, show no apparent pattern in Gladiolus. Bird pollinated species of series Homoglossum have sugar concentrations ranging from 28% to 33%, but in series Mutabilis of the same section as low as 21-26% in G. priorii and 37% in G. meridionalis, the latter remarkable in bird pollinated flowers. In contrast, bird pollinated species of section Ophiolyza have nectar mostly of 18-20% concentration except for G. flanaganii, which has nectar of 35% concentration. This absence of pattern is likewise consistent with Johnson and Bond's (1994) observations on flowers pollinated by nectarinids. Avian nectar feeders tend to favor more dilute nectars (Kevan, 1984; Baker & Baker, 1990; Nicholson, 1998), and this is reflected in the nectar of many Gladiolus species. The high nectar concentration in the species of series Homoglossum and G. meridionalis may reflect heightened calorific requirements in sunbirds in the winter, when temperatures are low and breeding occurs. In wet winter conditions rain may also cause dilution of nectar (Nicholson, 1998), and the high nectar concentration in these *Gladiolus* species may, alternatively and simply, be an adaptation to counteract the dilution effect. Nectar volume, as might be expected, is closely linked to pollinator size, itself linked to flower size (Baker & Baker, 1990). The larger the pollinator the larger the flower and the greater the amount of nectar. Thus bird flowers have the highest quantities of nectar, and bee (and hopliine) flowers the lowest. Butterfly and long-proboscid fly flowers fall between the extremes. Hopliine and small-bodied bee pollinated flowers either produce reduced amounts of nectar or none at all. *Gladiolus* flowers, then, appear to be excellent sources of nectar for the particular pollinator for which they are adapted and floral changes associated with shifts in pollination system are tracked by nectar volume and concentration. Nectar sugar chemistry seems more conservative and is largely sucrose-rich to -dominant as it is in most members of subfamily Crocoideae (syn. Ixioideae) (Goldblatt et al., 1995, 1999, 2000a, 2000b, in press; Manning & Goldblatt,

#### NECTAR

Two surprising results have emerged from an analysis of nectars produced by Gladiolus flowers (Johnson & Bond, 1994; Goldblatt et al., 1998a, 1999; Goldblatt & Manning, 1999, unpublished data). Irrespective of taxonomic affinity and, with two exceptions, of pollinator, nectars are sucroserich to sucrose-dominant. The exceptions are a lineage of three bird pollinated species of section Hebea, G. cunonius, G. saccatus, and G. splendens, and two species of section Ophiolyza inferred to be butterfly pollinated, G. cruentus and G. saundersii, which have hexose-rich to hexose-dominant nectar. The development of hexose-rich nectar in section Hebea is consistent with the presumed taste preferences of passerine birds (Baker & Baker, 1990). However, comparable evolution of hexose-rich nectar is conspicuously absent in the four other sections of southern African Gladiolus that contain bird pollinated species. The development of hexose-rich nectar in section Ophiolyza is likewise noteworthy, as it is unusual for butterfly flowers in the Iridaceae (unpublished data), though not unique. Hesperantha (Schizostylis) coccinea, a presumed butterfly flower, has hexose-dominant nectar (Johnson & Bond, 1994) in contrast to the bee or moth pollinated members of that genus (Goldblatt et al., in press).

In general, nectar sugar chemistry in *Gladiolus* appears to reflect phylogenetic relationship rather than pollinator preference, as has been reported, for example, in African Asphodelaceae and Ericaceae (Percival, 1961; van Wyk et al., 1993; Barnes et al., 1995). However, natural selection by pollinators presumably accounts for the shift to hexoserich nectar in section *Hebea* of *Gladiolus*. *Aeropetes* is, however, not known to have a preferred type of nectar, so the shift to hexose-rich nectar in butterfly pollinated species of section *Ophiolyza* is puzzling. Nectar concentration seems to be loosely linked to pollinator (Kevan & Baker, 1983; Baker & Baker, 1990), and this is to a limited extent reflected

1996, 1997). The marked trend away from this pattern in one bird pollinated lineage of section *Hebea*, which has hexose-rich to hexose-dominant nectar, seems likely to be pollinator driven. This same pattern occurs in several bird pollinated lineages of the family, including *Klattia* and *Witsenia* (Nivenioideae) and *Chasmanthe* (Crocoideae), but not in others (Goldblatt, 1993; Johnson & Bond, 1994; Goldblatt et al., 1999).

genus for tropical and southern Africa offer phylogenetic classifications and preliminary cladograms based on morphological analysis (Goldblatt, 1996, Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). In southern Africa, Goldblatt and Manning recognize seven sections in the genus (Table 4), each supported by specialized features (synapomorphies). Within these sections a total of 21 species aggregates (informal taxonomic series) are also recognized, these likewise supported by derived characters. Thus, a phylogenetic framework exists, which offers a broad understanding of the major patterns of radiation in the genus. Pollination biology in *Gladiolus* is also moderately well understood. Pollination by anthophorine bees and native Apis mellifera foraging for nectar and passively transporting dorsal loads of pollen is the most common system, now documented in 43 species. The close similarity of floral morphology and nectar characteristics suggest that an additional 44 species share this same pollination system (Table 3). Pollination systems in *Gladiolus*, in order of importance (Table 3) then, are large-bodied, longtongued bees (53%), long-proboscid flies with probosces over 20 mm long (18%), nectarinid birds (12%), night-flying moths (7%), the satyrid butterfly, Aeropetes (5%), and small-bodied, short-tongued female bees for aging for pollen (2%). The common hopliine beetle system of southern African Iridaceae is represented in just one species. Matching the pollination strategy against the classification of the genus shows repeated shifts in pollination system (Table 4). Assuming that large-bodied, longtongued bee pollination is ancestral, as postulated above, we suggest a minimum estimate of the independent origin of long-proboscid fly pollination at least 12 times (in six sections of the genus). Likewise, we infer the independent origin of passerine pollination 7 times (in 7 series in four sections), moth pollination 5 times (in 5 series in 3 sections), and Aeropetes pollination 3 times (in 3 series in 3 sections). Combining this with the independent origin of active bee pollination in four species in four separate series and hopliine pollination in one series, we infer a total of at least 32 shifts in pollination system within *Gladiolus*. Put another way, this represents one shift for every 5 species of *Gladiolus*. This appears to be a remarkable degree of flexibility in floral characters and in patterns of convergent evolution, at least as far as current knowledge allows this statement.

#### POLLINATOR SHIFTS AND EVOLUTION IN THE GENUS

In their analysis of the radiation of pollination systems in Disa (Orchidaceae) in southern Africa, Johnson et al. (1998) asked two questions. One, did each system originate once or did each mode have several independent origins? Two, does history play an important role in determining the pollination biology of a species or are pollination systems evolutionarily labile? These questions can equally be asked of *Gladiolus*, and the answers are strikingly similar. As in *Disa*, there is ample evidence from comparative morphology and cladistic analysis that different pollination systems arose repeatedly within *Gladiolus*. Thus, we conclude that, within certain parameters, pollination systems in the genus are extremely labile. There is always a historical component to the radiation of pollination systems, and the zygomorphic, tubular, often large, nectar-producing flowers of *Gladiolus* seem constrained to utilizing pollination systems that involve passive pollen transfer with pollinators visiting flowers in search of nectar. This contrasts markedly with genera like Hesperantha, Ixia, and Romulea, which have small, rotate, or campanulate flowers that emphasize pollination systems involving hopliine beetles or bees foraging for pollen, or a combination of hopliines and bees. Thus, hopliine beetles, which favor actinomorphic, salverform flowers; butterflies, other than Aeropetes; bombyliid flies, which favor relatively small flowers; and carrion flies; all significant pollinators of the southern African flora, are not at all or are or barely utilized within *Gladiolus*. Even the active pollination system involving female bees foraging for pollen is weakly developed, although it has evolved independently in four species of four different sections or series (G. aureus, G. brevitubus, G. quadrangulus, and G. stellatus). It has been implicitly assumed that explicit phylogenetic hypotheses based on well-supported cladistic analyses are necessary to determine patterns of floral radiation and associated diversity of pollination systems in flowering plants (Armbruster, 1992, 1993; Johnson et al., 1998). We lack such a phylogeny in *Gladiolus*, but the monographs of the

#### PATTERNS OF SPECIATION—GEOGRAPHY VERSUS POLLINATION SYSTEM

A more difficult question to answer than the frequency of pollinator shifts is why these shifts oc-

#### Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in *Gladiolus*

curred. Two factors appear significant in pollinator shifts in *Gladiolus*. One frequent pattern is an associated shift in soil substrate without any significant geographic disjunction among closely related species with different pollinators. Several examples illustrate this point. In the four species of series Floribundus, bee pollinated G. rudis and G. grandiflorus grow on sandstone or clay slopes respectively, fly pollinated G. floribundus on drier rocky sandstone habitats, and bird pollinated G. miniatus is restricted to coastal limestones. In series Permeabilis, G. permeabilis subsp. edulis favors sandy or rocky doleritic-derived soils, whereas the immediately related G. sekukuniensis is restricted to dolomite and other limestone-type soils (Manning et al., 1999). In the three species of series Appendiculatus, bee pollinated G. appendiculatus occurs on igneous substrates, while the two fly pollinated species, G. calcaratus and G. macneilii, occur on sandstone- or dolomite-derived soils, respectively. A similar pattern is mirrored in series Gracilis, where moth pollinated G. maculatus favors clay soils, while the closely related G. priorii and G. meridionalis, both bird pollinated, favor rocky sandstone or granite slopes (G. priorii) or coastal limestones (G. meridionalis). As in Lapeirousia

by changes in patterns of vegetative growth (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). Most often the production of leaves is delayed until conditions are favorable for vegetative growth, and leaves present at flowering time are reduced in size and often in number. Alternatively, the flowering stem with its reduced leaves does not die as the seeds mature, but remains green throughout the growing season.

Long-proboscid flies are on the wing late in the flowering season, mainly October to December in the winter-rainfall zone, February to April in the summer-rainfall zone. Shifts to this pollination system may be driven by selection by these insects. An aspect of pollination by extreme specialists, either long-proboscid flies or Aeropetes, that awaits explanation is the prominence of these systems as soon as these insects are on the wing. Bees are the predominant pollinators in the winter-rainfall zone early in the season (late winter and spring) and in the late spring and early summer in the summerrainfall zone, but their importance for genera like *Gladiolus* falls dramatically once long-proboscid flies and then Aeropetes become active. It may be that competition for conventional pollinators (apidanthophorine bees) influences the shift to specialist pollinators. There is, however, no evidence that bee populations also fall at this time, which might lead to the exploitation of alternative pollinators. It may simply be that long-proboscid flies, which usually carry pure loads of pollen of particular species at various sites on their bodies (Goldblatt & Manning, 1999, 2000) are more effective pollinators. Bees remain active and plentiful in the summer-rainfall zone thoughout the time that long-proboscid flies and Aeropetes are active. In the winter-rainfall zone apid bees also remain active and prominent pollinators of Gladiolus species that flower in late summer and autumn. As noted for subgenus Lapeirousia in winterrainfall southern Africa (Goldblatt & Manning, 1996), edaphic shifts appear to be the primary step in population differentiation, which is then reinforced by a pollinator shift. This may be more readily accomplished in small peripheral populations separated ecologically from the swamping effects of the ancestral gene pool. The second pattern, which involves a phenological shift, may be entirely pollinator driven. Whatever the full explanation may be, there is no doubt that pollinator shifts explain much of the species diversity in the African genera of the Iridaceae.

(Goldblatt & Manning, 1996), one pattern of species diversification in *Gladiolus* is marked by an edaphic shift accompanied by a change in pollinator without a marked geographic disjunction.

A second pattern of speciation is associated with a shift in flowering phenology. In the southern African winter-rainfall zone this shift is sometimes combined with a change in pollinator in closely related species. A phenological shift is consistently associated with Aeropetes pollination because this butterfly is on the wing from late December to April. At least three clades comprise species that flower in the late summer or autumn and show a shift to Aeropetes pollination. This phenological shift need not accompany a change in pollinator. Several autumn-flowering species of sections Homoglossum and Linearifolius maintain ancestral large-bodied anthophorine bee pollination but flower at a time when few other members of the surrounding flora are in bloom, and competition for bee pollination must be minimal. In the winterrainfall zone, related species flower at the expected time, earlier in the season. In the summer-rainfall zone there is a comparable phenological shift in species of section Linearifolius, in this case for flowering earlier in the season, at the end of the dry season and before the flowering peak in the flora. Phenological shifts, whether accompanied by pollinator shifts or not, are generally accompanied

Species diversification within the same pollination system requires different explanations. Some species fit the classic pattern of divergence caused by geographic isolation alone. For example, within

series *Homoglossum* the lineage of similar-flowered, bird pollinated species, G. quadrangularis, G. teretifolius, and G. watsonius, occupy separate, although adjacent geographic ranges, but maintain identical soil preferences, flowering times, and pollinators (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). A comparable pattern is evident in the second lineage of the series, in which G. abbreviatus, G. fourcadei, and G. huttonii have adjacent ranges across the southern and eastern Cape, but there is a shift in phenology in G. abbreviatus to winter-flowering as compared to its spring-flowering relatives. Speciation patterns are often more complex. Competition for the same suite of pollinators at times of flowering peaks, August and September in the winter-rainfall zone and December to February in the summer-rainfall zone, is one explanation for the presence of species clusters in sections Densiflorus, Hebea, and Homoglossum, all pollinated by the same range of large-bodied bees. Under intense competition for pollinators, a distinctive display of form, color, or even fragrance may enhance reproductive success. In a genus such as Gladiolus, where species are self-incompatible but are almost all interfertile, only highly distinctive species sharing the same pollinator can coexist in a particular habitat, whatever their edaphic niche may be, without the development of hybrids. It is not unusual to find three or four coblooming species of *Gladi*olus sharing the same set of bee species as their pollinators. Each Gladiolus species has its own microhabitat, so that competition for space and nutrients is not a concern. However, in such situations species invariably differ substantially in appearance and fragrance. Anthophorine bees, which are to a degree flower constant (Bernhardt, 1996; Goldblatt et al., 1998b), do not visit these different species sequentially, and hybridization is rare in our experience, and unknown in undisturbed habitats. We therefore assume that introgression is not an explanation for much if any of the species diversity in the genus. Reproductive isolation due to polyploidy or dysploidy can also be largely ruled out as having played a role in the radiation in southern African Gladiolus. Nearly all species are diploid and have the same chromosome number, n = 15(Goldblatt & Takei, 1997). Only G. dalenii is consistently polyploid in southern Africa (diploid races occur in tropical Africa and Madagascar), and G. *leptosiphon*, n = 30, may be a polyploid species, although only one population has been examined for chromosome number (Goldblatt et al., 1993; Goldblatt & Takei, 1997). The predominant factors that promote reproductive isolation in Gladiolus then are floral presentation frequently combined

with edaphic or phenological shifts, phenological shifts alone, or conventional geographic barriers to dispersal.

#### SPECIALIST POLLINATION SYSTEMS AND RARITY

As pointed out by Johnson and Bond (1994) for species pollinated by Aeropetes, many of the plants are rare or have narrow ranges. This is certainly true of butterfly pollinated species of the winterrainfall zone, but it is also true that these species are usually restricted to rare habitats that remain moist during the dry summer and autumn (Goldblatt & Manning, 1998). Long-proboscid fly pollinated species of the winter-rainfall zone also flower fairly late and require mesic habitats that limit their distribution. Thus the rarity or narrow ranges of species using specialist pollinators may be no more than a reflection of the scarcity of suitable habitats available to them compounded by the difficulty of dispersal to isolated habitats where they can become established. The correlation of specialist pollinator and rarity is misleading. It is probably not the result of the unusual pollination system but of the paucity of suitable habitats and the difficulty of dispersal to similar habitats, located considerable

distances away.

In the southern African summer-rainfall zone some *Gladiolus* species are certainly rare. While the immediately related *G. permeabilis* is widespread across southern Africa, *G. sekukuniensis* is restricted to a narrow stretch of Northern Province. Similarly, *G. macneilii* is a narrow endemic, whereas allied and presumably ancestral *G. appendiculatus* has a far wider range. However, both these rare species are also edaphic specialists, confined to unusual limestone soils. The correlation here, then, is a reflection of edaphic shift being associated with a change in pollinator.

#### CONCLUSION

The ultimate explanation for the success of *Glad*iolus compared to related genera in the family seems to lie in a genetic system that allows for adaptation to many different habitats, soil types, and climatic conditions combined with an extremely labile floral form that is receptive to selection. If there is one feature of the reproductive system that appears distinctive in *Gladiolus* it is that most species appear, at least from preliminary studies (Goldblatt et al., 1998a; Goldblatt & Manning, 1999), to be self-incompatible, unlike other genera in the same subfamily, and moreover, the flowers are strongly protandrous and herkogamous, also unlike the situation in other Crocoideae (where this is

## Goldblatt et al. Pollination Systems in *Gladiolus*

known). The genus also has a high basic chromosome number, x = 15, one of the highest in the family. Outcrossing and associated genic recombination are therefore maximized. The only other genus that is comparable in the family, *Moraea* (subfamily Iridoideae), is also an unusually large genus with some 200 species, and it too shows strong selfincompatibility, protandry and herkogamy, but not a high basic chromosome number. Whatever the explanations may be for the species richness in *Gladiolus*, and they are probably numerous and complex, we can only marvel at the diversity and adaptability of this remarkable genus. & — \_\_\_\_\_. 2002. Evidence for moth and butter-fly pollination in the genus *Gladiolus* (Iridaceae: Cro-coideae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 89 (In press).
 & M. Takei. 1997. Chromosome cytology of Iridaceae, base numbers, patterns of variation and modes of karyotype change. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 84: 285–304.

\_\_\_\_\_, J. C. Manning & P. Bernhardt. 1995. Pollination in Lapeirousia subgenus Lapeirousia (Iridaceae: Ixioideae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 82: 517-534. ----, ----- & -----. 1997. Notes on the pollination of Gladiolus brevifolius (Iridaceae) by bees (Anthophoridae) and bee mimicking flies (Psilodera: Acroceridae). J. Kansas Entomol. Soc. 70: 297-304. —, — & — . 1998a. Adaptive radiation of bee pollinated *Gladiolus* species (Iridaceae) in southern Africa. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 85: 492-517. \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ & \_\_\_\_\_. 1998b. Pollination by monkey beetles (Scarabaeidae-Hopliini) in petaloid geophytes in southern Africa. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 85: 215 - 230.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_&\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. 1999. Evidence of bird pollination in the Iridaceae of southern Africa. Adansonia ser. 3, 21: 25–40. —, P. Bernhardt & J. C. Manning. 2000a. Adaptive radiation of pollination mechanisms in the African genus Ixia (Iridaceae: Crocoideae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 89: 564–577.

#### Literature Cited

- Armbruster, W. S. 1992. Phylogeny and the evolution of plant-animal interactions. BioScience 42: 12–20.
- Baker, H. G. & I. Baker. 1990. The predictive value of nectar chemistry to the recognition of pollinator types. Israel J. Bot. 39: 157–166.
- Barnes, K., S. W. Nicholson & B.-E. van Wyk. 1995. Nectar sugar composition in *Erica*. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 23: 419–423.
- Bernhardt, P. 1996. Anther adaptation in animal polli-

of pollination systems in *Sparaxis* Ker Gawler (Iridaceae: Ixioideae). Adansonia sér. 3, 22: 57–70.

nation. Pp. 192–220 *in* W. G. D'Arcy & R. C. Keating (editors), The Anther: Form, Function, and Phylogeny. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K.

2000. Convergent evolution and adaptive radiation of beetle-pollinated angiosperms. Pl. Syst. Evol. 222: 293–320.

Faegri, K. & L. van der Pijl. 1979. The Principles of Pollination Ecology, Ed. 3. Pergamon Press, New York.
Goldblatt, P. 1984. A revision of *Hesperantha* (Iridaceae) in the winter rainfall area of southern Africa. J. S. African Bot. 50: 15–141.

——, I. Nänni, P. Bernhardt & J. C. Manning. In press. Floral biology of *Hesperantha* (Iridaceae: Crocoideae): How minor shifts in floral presentation change the pollination system. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.

Johnson, S. D. & W. J. Bond. 1994. Red flowers and butterfly pollination in the fynbos of South Africa. Pp. 137–148 in M. Arianoutsou & R. Grooves (editors), Plant Animal Interactions in Mediterranean-Type Ecosystems. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht.

——, H. P. Linder & K. E. Steiner. 1998. Phylogeny and radiation of pollination systems in *Disa* (Orchidaceae). Amer. J. Bot. 85: 402–411.

Kevan, P. G. 1984. Pollination by animals and angiosperm biosystematics. Pp. 271–292 in W. E. Grant (editor), Plant Biosystematics. Academic Press, Toronto.

— & — . 1999. The long-proboscid fly pollination system in *Gladiolus* (Iridaceae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 86: 758–774.

Macior, L. W. 1982. Plant community and pollinator dynamics in the evolution of pollination mechanisms. Pp. 29–45 in J. A. Armstrong et al. (editors), Pollination and Evolution. Royal Botanic Garden, Sydney.

Manning, J. C. & P. Goldblatt. 1995. Cupid comes in many guises: The not so humble fly and a pollination guild in the Overberg. Veld & Flora 81: 50–52.

\_\_\_\_\_& \_\_\_\_\_. 1997. The Moegistorhynchus longi-

*rostris* (Diptera: Nemestrinidae) pollination guild: Longtubed flowers and a specialized long-tongued fly pollination system in southern Africa. Pl. Syst. Evol. 206: 51–69.

-----, ------ & P. D. J. Winter. 1999. Two new species of *Gladiolus* (Iridaceae: Ixioideae) from South Africa and notes on long-proboscid fly pollination in the genus. Bothalia 29: 217–223.

Nicholson, S. W. 1998. The importance of osmosis in nectar secretion and its consumption by insects. Amer. Zool. 38: 418–425.

Percival, M. S. 1961. Types of nectar in angiosperms. New Phytol. 60: 235-281. Roig Alsina, A. & C. D. Michener. 1993. Studies of the phylogeny and classification of long-tongued bees (Hymenoptera: Apoidea). Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 55: 123– 162.

Schoonhoven, L. M., T. Jermy & J. J. A. van Loon. 1998. Insect–Plant Biology. Chapman Hall, London.

Steiner, K. S. 1998. Beetle pollination of peacock moraeas in South Africa. Pl. Syst. Evol. 209: 47-65.

Vogel, S. 1954. Blütenbiologische Typen als Elemente der Sippengliederung. Bot. Stud. 1: 1-338.

Van Wyk, B.-E., C. S. Whitehead, H. F. Glen, D. S. Hardy, E. J. van Jaarsveld & G. F. Smith. 1993. Nectar sugar composition in the subfamily Alooideae (Asphodelaceae). Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 21: 249–253.

Rebelo, A., G. Siegfried & E. G. H. Oliver. 1987. Pollination syndromes of *Erica* species in the south-western Cape. S. African J. Bot. 51: 270–280.

## ANNALS OF THE MISSOURI BOTANICAL GARDEN: CHECKLIST FOR AUTHORS

#### SCOPE

The Annals publishes original articles in systematic botany and related fields. Papers whose purpose is the establishment of new nomenclatural entities in vascular plants and bryophytes are not accepted. Rather, they should be submitted to Novon for consideration. (Novon instructions are available on the Web at www.mobot.org or mitted. [Send originals or photostats of originals when manuscript is accepted for publication.]

- Authorities, abbreviated according to Brummit & Powell's Authors of Plant Names, are provided for species' names the first time they are mentioned in the text; OR they are provided in a table that includes names of species.
- Letter is enclosed containing the author's full mailing

#### from the managing editor.)

#### **CONDITIONS FOR PUBLICATION**

Authors are urged to contact the managing editor prior to submitting manuscripts. Because the diskette/electronic file will be used by the printer for typesetting, it is important to adhere to the items listed in the format section of the checklist. Authors' electronic files are formatted by the editors and are sent to the printer in electronic form (not as camera-ready pages).

Manuscripts that have been reviewed before submission will be subject to the full normal review process initiated here. Manuscripts not properly prepared may be returned for revision prior to review. It is the author's responsibility to supply missing bibliographic information; the editor does not perform library research except by prior arrangement with authors not having access to crucial reference materials. Communication with the editor at all points of the publication process is encouraged.

address, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address.

#### 2. Format of Text

- Right-hand margin is not justified, and words are not hyphenated there.
- One space is inserted after periods, colons, question marks, and all other punctuation marks.
- First sentences of paragraphs are indented with a tab. Two hyphens with no space between them are used to indicate ranges of numbers, for example, page numbers, 20-23; measurements, 5-7 mm; altitudes, 1500-2500 m.
- A single hyphen is used in hyphenated words, for example, 9-nerved, oval-triangular.
- Common Latin words or phrases are not italicized (e.g., et al., i.e., sensu, etc.).
- Only taxon names at the rank of genus and below are italicized.

#### PAGE CHARGES

Page costs are \$80 per page, although charges may sometimes be reduced. Changes in proof made by authors will be billed non-negotiably to the author at \$3.50 per line changed.

#### CORRESPONDENCE

Amy McPherson, Managing Editor MBG Press, P.O. Box 299, St. Louis, MO 63166-0299, U.S.A.

Street address: MBG Press, Monsanto Center, 4500 Shaw Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63110-2291 Tel: (314) 577-5112; Fax: (314) 577-9594 Email: annals@mobot.org www.mobot.org

- Correct accents, umlauts, and other diacritical marks have been included.
- All figures and tables are cited in the text and are numbered in the order in which they are to appear.

#### 3. Style

Recent issue of the Annals is used as a model. Chicago Manual of Style, latest edition, is used as a reference.

#### 4. First Page

Footnotes are typed as double-spaced paragraphs on the first page. The first footnote contains acknowledgments, including information on granting agencies, herbaria that loaned specimens, and the name of the artist. The second footnote is the author's address. Addresses for additional authors are each separate footnotes. No other footnotes occur, except in tables, where unavoidable.

#### 1. General

- Text is in English or Spanish on numbered pages. Manuscript is submitted on MS-DOS/WINDOWS 31/2 in. diskette, as Microsoft Word<sup>®</sup> [preferable] file.
- Three copies of double-spaced printed manuscript, including abstract, legends, tables, specimen lists, Literature Cited, and footnotes, are enclosed. The printed manuscript is the same as the document on the diskette.
- Photocopies of the figures are included with each copy of the manuscript. Copies of half-tone figures (photographs) and plates must be of sufficiently good quality to show all the detail of the originals. Digital or dyesublimation proofs of electronic graphic files are sub-

- 5. Abstract & Key Words
- one-paragraph abstract precedes text. Papers in Spanish have an English abstract in addition to a Spanish resumen.
- The abstract is concise (1 paragraph) and includes brief statements about the paper's intent, materials and methods, results, and significance of findings.
- A brief list of key words immediately follows the Abstract.